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Introduction: Specialty MRI systems for head imaging have shown benefits in neuroimaging due to strong gradient amplitudes and high slew rates [1, 2]. In order for a dedicated head gradient to perform better than whole body gradients in terms of strength and slew rate, while maintaining comparable linearity and reduced forces and torques, the gradient coil must also meet a stringent design target to limit eddy currents and their impact on image quality. In this work, we report on design phase simulation of the eddy current which is induced in the cryostat of a dedicated head magnet. Design phase prediction capability allows the optimization and minimization of linear- and higher-order eddy currents induced in the conducting structures of the cryostat. To accurately simulate the performance of a head-only magnet, the gradient map was approximated as a linear response system, the harmonic response was calculated within finite element simulation and used for and amplitude and time constant tracking. Accordingly, the spatial deformations of the gradient field due to eddy currents and their higher-order terms, as well as their decay behavior over time were extracted in transient and frequency domain. This information can be transferred into an image quality prediction model [5] for diffusion weighted imaging as key application for head-only systems.

Materials and Methods: The dedicated head system was designed to achieve maximum gradient field strength rate of 630 T/ms. With a 26 cm imaging field of view, a linearity constraint of 16.9% was targeted to achieve comparable performance to conventional gradient coils. The z-gradient coil is based on a symmetric design, whereas the x- and y-axes were asymmetric [6]. Higher-order eddy current harmonic coefficients were constrained, where especially the linear and the third-order were targeted to 1% of the static field harmonics. This was achieved by approximating the thermal shield as a perfect conductor that blocks any normal impinging field from the gradient coil. As such, the spherical harmonics of the magnetic flux density generated by the shield is calculated as a ratio to the harmonics generated by the gradient coil itself (Fig.1). In dynamic simulation, both harmonic and transient responses of the thermal shield were evaluated. Finite element simulations (Maxwell3D, Ansys, Canonsburg, USA) were utilized in time and frequency domain to compare the ideal and distorted field maps. Field maps extracted at the iso-center of the x-gradient coil illustrate the impact of higher-order eddy currents on field linearity and their decay time behavior as a function of time.

Results: The harmonic eddy current analysis showed for constant, linear and higher-order terms an eddy current effect of less than 2%, and about 4% on the second-order harmonic for the x- and y- gradient. All harmonic order components could be designed to be less than 0.5% for the z-gradient (Fig.3). The frequency analysis within finite element simulations resulted in a 1.37% eddy current effect on the pulsed x-gradient representing the maximum eddy current field response of the linear term. This nicely matched the result given in Fig.2, where the first and second harmonic are modeled as a linear response system, the harmonic response was calculated within finite element simulation and used for and amplitude and time constant tracking. The eddy current time constant is extracted from the difference curve of ideal and eddy response.

Discussion and Conclusion: Eddy currents can have a strong influence on image quality. Its inclusion as a constraint in the gradient design phase as a method of predicting image quality, are highly desired. Eddy current field predictions produced in a new head-only gradient design have been evaluated. Constant, linear- and higher-order terms have been targeted within the design optimization phase. The predictions have been validated in finite element simulations which showed excellent agreement in static and frequency simulations compared to the optimization target. The transient analysis provided insight into the temporal decay rates for the linear eddy current term. Future work will include the extraction of the time constants of higher-order terms, and the modeling of image quality using eddy current field responses over time. The developed modeling tools allow the establishment of design specifications for each order of the eddy current harmonics for new gradient coils. For the specific head-only prototype design, the second-order harmonic is expected to substantially influence image quality. With approach described, the design constraints can be further tightened and refined in the subsequent design iterations to ensure optimal image quality. Currently, eddy current data are directly transferred into an imaging model for the evaluation and prediction of image quality. Further expansion of the modeling tools will focus on a frequency sweep solving approach to speed up simulation times.
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