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Introduction: The non-monoeponential DWI decay at high b-values has been attributed to the multiplicity of diffusion rates, which may provide the information about the water compartmentation. The common way to compute the diffusion rates is through the multi-exponential analysis. The bi-exponential model [1] assumes two diffusion rates. The distributed exponential model [2] makes no assumption about the number of diffusion rates, and can be empirically described by the stretched exponential model (α-DWI) [3]. The parameter α has been theoretically derived from physical models of anomalous diffusion [4]. Those models have a few parameters, and have been shown to correlate with the pathology [5-7]. However, the link between the fitted parameters and biophysical mechanisms remains unclear. The multi-exponential relation is also phenomenological [8,9], because each diffusion rate is no longer associated with a mono-exponential decay when diffusion time is long (>30 ms in a clinical DWI). In this study, the distribution of ‘effective’ water diffusion in a simulated cell structure (Fig. 1) was calculated using Monte Carlo simulation [10]. DWI experiments were simulated [11], and the DWI signals were fitted by the bi-exponential and α-DWI models. We studied how the fitted parameters: Dfast, Dslow, Vfast (fraction of Dfast) of the bi-exponential fit, and DDC, α of the α-DWI, tracked the distribution of effective diffusion when the microstructures were changed. This may give insights into the relationship between the phenomenological fitting models and the tissue structure.

Method: A random walk model and Monte Carlo simulation were implemented in C. Given a diffusion time, 5000 spins were initially placed in a 2-D space at random, and took successive random steps at a rate: 40,000 steps/per sec. The experiment was repeated 2000 times with ‘the same’ initial locations for all spins. The effective diffusion of each spin was then calculated through its mean square displacement over the repeated experiments. All the spins diffusing in a 2-D space thus generated a distribution of effective diffusion at arbitrary long diffusion time (86 ms), a single narrow peak was expected for free diffusion with \( D = 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \) (fig. 2a), whereas there was a spread of effective diffusion in the presence of intra-extra-cellular compartments with \( D_{e}/D_{w} = 1.0/2.5 \times 10^{-3} \, \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \) [12] (fig. 2b-2d). Other parameters of simulations were: cell volume fraction: 0.65, mean cell diameter: 10 μm, cell membrane permeability (defined in [12]): 0.01 mm/s, FOV: 250 μm. DWI signals were simulated by the phase accrual of 60,000 random walkers. Parameters of the simulated PGSE sequence: \( G_{\text{max}} = 40 \, \text{mT}/\text{m} \), 40 MTT. The b-value was set to be 0-6000 in increments of 500 s/mm^2 by changing the gradient strength G. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was applied in the data fitting using Matlab (Mathworks, Inc.). The residual sum of squares (RSS) was used for fitting accuracy assessment. The correlation between parameters was measured by the correlation coefficient with significance level: \( p=0.05 \).

Results: The distribution of effective diffusion varied distinctly with the simulated biophysical changes (Fig. 2b-2d). The corresponding results of model fitting are given in fig. 3b-3d. While the bi-exponential model, with one more parameter, fit the data more accurately than the α-DWI (fig. 3a), both models achieved reasonable accuracy (RSS of the mono-exponential model: 5.61 ± 2.62 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \). The bi-exponential fit with the biophysical changes should be cautious, because each diffusion rate is no longer associated with a mono-exponential decay when diffusion time is long (>30 ms in a clinical DWI). When membrane permeability increased, a shift in slow diffusion components (fig. 2c). This was reflected by the larger variations of \( D_{\text{slow}} \) (fig. 2b-2d). The ‘diffusion heterogeneity’ measured by α was correlated with the standard deviation (STD) of effective diffusion (\( p<0.05 \)), increased by a decrease in cell volume fraction and in membrane permeability (fig. 2c-2d, 3c-3d). The increased membrane permeability leading to the decreased STD of effective diffusion, was specifically reflected by a decrease in Dfast, Dslow, and in the measured diffusion heterogeneity. The increased membrane permeability, leading to the decreased STD of effective diffusivity, was specifically reflected by an increase in Dfast and Dslow, and a decrease in ‘Dfast − Dslow’ in the measured diffusion heterogeneity. The increased diffusion heterogeneity measured by α was correlated with the increase in Dfast, Dslow, and DDC. The increased cell volume fraction, leading to the decreased STD of effective diffusion, was specifically reflected by a decrease in Dfast, the difference: Dfast − Dslow, and in the measured diffusion heterogeneity. The increased membrane permeability, leading to the decreased STD of effective diffusivity, was specifically reflected by an increase in Dfast and Dslow, and a decrease in ‘Dfast − Dslow’ in the measured diffusion heterogeneity.

Discussion: Our results suggest that the direct correlation of the bi-exponential fit with the biophysical changes should be cautious, because Vfast was found to be uncorrelated with the simulated changes in cell volume fraction. We also found that the diffusion heterogeneity measured by α, correlated with the spread of effective diffusivity, may increase with the restricted diffusion when cell radius reduced. In conclusion, our simulation demonstrated that the phenomenological models tracked the changes of the mean effective diffusivity and the overlap/spread of multiple diffusion components, which are specific to the changes in biophysical mechanisms. This may help to pin down the pathological mechanisms reflected by the phenomenological models.