Noninvasive estimation of pulmonary vascular resistance with 4D flow-sensitive MRI in a canine model of acute pulmonary arterial hypertension

Alejandro Roldan-Alzate$^{1,}$, Alex Frydrychowicz$^{2,}$, Leif Jensen$^3$, Scott K Nagle$^4$, Heidi Kellihan$^1$, Naomi Chesler$^4$, Olivier Wieben$^{1,4,}$, and Christopher J Francois$^1$

$^1$Radiology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States, $^2$Radiology, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Lubeck, Germany, $^3$Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States, $^4$Biomedical Engineering, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States, $^5$Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States

BACKGROUND: Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) is a fatal disease characterized by a progressive increase in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) that ultimately leads to right ventricular (RV) failure [1]. PVR is derived from invasive measurements, using right heart catheterization (RHC), from the ratio of the pulmonary pressure gradient to pulmonary flow (PVR=VP/Qp). Doppler echocardiography is frequently used clinically to noninvasively estimate PVR from the ratio of the peak tricuspid regurgitation velocity (TRV) to the velocity time integral in the right ventricular outflow tract (VTI$_{RVOT}$) [2]. In patients with PAH, MRI is increasingly used to assess RV volumes and function using breath-hold CINE bSSFP sequences. The ability to determine PVR from MRI would enable a more complete characterization of RV and pulmonary artery (PA) interactions from a single examination. Furthermore, coupled with volumetric flow-sensitive imaging, which includes determination of Qp, knowledge of PVR could then be used to calculate VP noninvasively as well. The purpose of this study was to estimate PVR from TRV/VTI$_{RVOT}$ using a 4D flow-sensitive MRI sequence (PC VIPR – Phase Contrast Vastly undersampled Isotropic Projection Reconstruction) [3] with high spatial and temporal resolution. We hypothesized that differences in PVR between PC VIPR and RHC would not be statistically significant.

METHODS: After IACUC approval, six adult female beagles were induced with propofol and maintained under anesthesia with isoflurane. MRI measurements were performed prior to and following induction of acute PAH by injecting micro-beads (150-500μm) into the right atrium and ventricle. MRI studies were performed on a 3.0T clinical system (Discovery 750, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI). **PC VIPR** parameters were FOV: 32x32x22cm, readout=256, TR/TE=6.7/2.4, acquired spatial resolution=1.3mm isotropic. PC VIPR was performed following the administration of 0.1mmol/kg of Gd-based intravenous contrast (gadobenate dimeglumine, Bracco Diagnostics, Inc, Princeton, NJ). Data were reconstructed to 20 time frames for dynamic post-processing using retrospective ECG gating and a temporal filter for view sharing. 2D cutplanes through the RVOT and tricuspid valve (TV) were generated in Ensite (CEI, Apex, NC) and exported for analysis in MATLAB (Figure 1). RHC was performed immediately following the pre-embolization MRI and immediately prior to post-embolization MRI. PVR, in Woods units (WU) was calculated using the following formula: PVR$_{RHC}$=(mPAP-PCWP)/CO, where mPAP is the mean pulmonary arterial pressure, PCWP is the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and CO is the cardiac output. Values are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Linear regression analysis was used to assess the correlation between TRV/VTI$_{RVOT}$ and PVR$_{RHC}$. A linear regression equation was derived to calculate PVR$_{MRI}$. The differences between the estimated PVR$_{MRI}$ and measured PVR$_{RHC}$ were assessed using Bland-Altman analysis.

RESULTS: One post-embolization PVR$_{RHC}$ and one pre-embolization TRV/VTI$_{RVOT}$ could not be calculated of technical failures with data acquisition. Therefore, data from 10 paired PVR$_{RHC}$ and TRV/VTI$_{RVOT}$ measurements were used for analysis. PVR$_{RHC}$ values pre- and post-embolization were 2.4±0.8 WU and 8.2±4.4 WU, respectively. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between TRV/VTI$_{RVOT}$ and PVR$_{RHC}$ was 0.93 (Figure 2). The equation derived from linear regression was PVR$_{MRI}$ = 0.44(TRV/VTI$_{RVOT}$) - 4.73. Using this equation, PVR$_{MRI}$ values pre- and post-embolization were 2.8±1.7 WU (P=0.63 for PVR$_{MRI}$ vs. PVR$_{RHC}$) and 7.8±4.1 WU (p=0.52 for PVR$_{MRI}$ vs. PVR$_{RHC}$), respectively. The mean difference (bias) between PVR$_{MRI}$ and PVR$_{RHC}$ was 0 with positive and negative levels of agreement of 3.16 and -3.16, respectively.

DISCUSSION: Several MRI methods of estimating PVR have been evaluated, with a model combining the average PA velocity and RV ejection fraction [4] being one of the more promising. In this study we found that PVR can be accurately estimated noninvasively from the velocity-time integral in the RVOT and the peak velocity of the tricuspid regurgitation just using PC VIPR. Although PVR is an important parameter in the evaluation of patients with PAH, it is also of clinical utility in the management of patients with a variety of other cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases, including congestive heart failure [5], coronary artery disease [6] heart- and liver-transplant [7], and congenital heart disease [8]. Reiter G, et al. recently used 4D flow-sensitive MRI to estimate mPAP by measuring the length of vortices in the proximal main PA [9]. The results from this study indicate that 4D flow-sensitive MRI with PC VIPR can also be used to estimate PVR, complementing the analysis of alterations in flow patterns in the heart and pulmonary arteries.
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