Multi-modal MRI analysis for automatic trajectory planning of deep brain stimulation neurosurgery
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Introduction. A promising treatment for severe Parkinson disease involves the insertion of deep brain stimulation (DBS) electrodes via minimally invasive image-guided neurosurgery. Planning the optimal procedure requires the surgeon to find the best direct path to the subthalamic nuclei (STN) target that avoids critical brain structures (e.g., ventricles, sulci, blood vessels, motor area) to prevent hemorrhages, loss of function and other complications. Currently, this is done by manual inspection of the patient imaging data although there has been recent interest in automating this process1,2. In this work, we propose an automatic path planning framework that incorporates several key improvements at every stage of the process: from MRI acquisition to automatic trajectory selection. First, our approach takes advantage of the most recent advances regarding venous and arterial blood vessel imaging with the use of susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI) and time-of-flight (TOF-MRA) protocols. Second, our trajectory analysis software can handle fuzzy datasets (e.g. vesselness filtered data) without the use of a global threshold or of lengthy, iterative, post-processing. Third, our framework meaningfully aggregates several clinical requirements into a single trajectory ranking.

Method. MRI Acquisition: Multi-modal MRI datasets were obtained on two healthy subjects using a 3T Siemens TIM Trio using a 32-channel coil. First, a sagittal T1w anatomical scan of the entire head with 1x1x1-mm resolution is obtained using a 3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MP RAGE) sequence (TR=2300ms, TI=900ms, TE=2.98ms, α=9°). Second, a transverse SWI dataset1 of the brain with 0.5x0.5x1-mm resolution is obtained using a fully flow compensated 3D gradient echo sequence (TR=30ms, TE=20ms, α=12°, BW=120Hz/pixel, adaptive coil combine). Third, an MRA dataset is obtained with 1x1x1-mm resolution using a 3D multi-slab TOF (4 slabs, 44 slices/slab, transverse acquisition, TR=22ms, TE=3.85ms, α=18°). Multi-modal data preprocessing: The STN (target points) are identified via linear registration of the T1w dataset to the ICBM-152 atlas. Entry points to analyze include all head surface voxel found within a user-selectable bounding box starting behind the hairline and ending prior to the motor cortex. Ventricles and sulci segmentation is performed using an in-house atlas-based tissue classification method. SWI and TOF datasets are preprocessed using a 3D multiscale vesselness filtering measure1. Automatic trajectory planning: For each trajectory, a cost function is calculated separately for each surgical requirement. The cost of a specific voxel is inversely proportional to its distance from trajectory and, for fuzzy datasets, proportional to the actual voxel value. For speed, this search is restricted to voxels found within a 5-mm radius of the trajectory. The maximal cost and sum of costs are extracted and a histogram analysis is performed to rank each trajectory on a [1-100] scale. An aggregated score is then computed for every trajectory using a weighted sum of the numerous individual rankings. A greater weight is given to the maximal cost criterion (over the sum criterion) because almost hitting a critical structure once is more severe than approaching the same critical structure multiple times at a safer distance1.

Results. Our automatic path planning tool was evaluated by a senior neurosurgery resident and an experienced neurosurgeon. First, the senior resident was asked to perform manual trajectory planning using a commercial neuronavigation system. Paths found manually were then evaluated using our cost function to determine their overall rankings. Table 1 shows that, for all four planning experiments, the computed trajectory almost always exhibits a superior ranking for all individual criteria of sulci and vessel avoidance. The manual trajectory for subject 1’s left STN was even rejected by our method using 2D and 3D visualization software (e.g. Fig. 1). Each automatic planning experiment lead to a satisfactory trajectory. Our automated method is also fast with ~12000 trajectories analyzed in less than 4 minutes.

Conclusion. Manual path planning, especially with dense multi-modal datasets, is a complex and lengthy process that yields subjective and potentially sub-optimal or even unsafe solutions. This work provides neurosurgeons with an intuitive decision support system for automatic planning of DBS neurosurgeries that aggregates multiple surgical requirements into a single weighted ranking of available trajectories.