Three-Dimensional Stress Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Perfusion Imaging for the Detection of Coronary Artery Disease
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Introduction:
Adenosine stress cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) first pass perfusion is highly accurate for the detection of myocardial ischemia. However, current two-dimensional multi-slice acquisitions have limited spatial coverage of the heart and, thus, do not allow complete visualization of myocardial ischemic burden. The purpose of this study was to evaluate a new dynamic three-dimensional (3D) perfusion scan technique exploiting data correlation in k-space and time with joint sensitivity-encoding (k-t SENSE) and determined its value for the detection of coronary artery disease (CAD).

Methods:
130 patients with known or suspected CAD underwent a 3.0 Tesla CMR examination using 10x k-t SENSE (1,2) (TR/TE/flip angle: 1.8ms/0.7ms/15°, saturation prepulse delay: 150 ms, partial Fourier acquisition, voxel size: 2.3x2.3x5.0 mm\textsuperscript{3}). Perfusion scans were obtained under adenosine stress (140 $\mu$g/kg/min for 6 min; 0.1mmol/kg Gd-DTPA) and at rest. Quantitative invasive coronary angiography defined significant CAD as $\geq 50\%$ luminal narrowing. For visual analysis, 3D CMR perfusion scans were classified as pathologic if $\geq 1$ segment showed an inducible perfusion deficit ($>25\%$ transmurality). Overall image quality of stress and rest 3D CMR perfusion scans was graded on a scale between 1 and 4 (1= nondiagnostic, 2= poor, 3= good, 4= excellent).

Results:
Visual analysis of 3D CMR perfusion resulted in a sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of 91.3, 70.5 and 81.5%, respectively. Sensitivity for the detection of single, double and triple vessel disease was 90.2%, 95.0% and 87.5%. The mean visual score of 3D-CMR perfusion imaging was 3.4 ± 0.6 during adenosine stress and 3.5 ± 0.6 at rest (p=ns) (Figure 1).

Conclusions: Dynamic 3D-CMR stress perfusion imaging proved to be a robust method providing high image quality and high diagnostic accuracy for the detection of significant CAD in a routine clinical referral population.

References:

Figure 1:
Consecutive slices of a dynamic 3D-CMR stress perfusion scan; apical (top left) to basal (bottom right). Anterior-anterosetal hypoperfusion from apical to equatorial slices.