Investigating the Effect of Size and Site of Implantation on Tumour Vascular Morphology and Function Using Combined Carbogen USPIO (CUSPIO) Imaging
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Introduction: The combined carbogen USPIO imaging protocol (CUSPIO) combines two MRI susceptibility contrast mechanisms: intrinsic susceptibility contrast MRI and contrast enhanced susceptibility MRI with ultra small super paramagnetic iron oxide (USPIO) particles [1]. R2* (1/T2*) slows with high oxygen-content gas breathing, which decreases the ratio of deoxyhaemoglobin (paramagnetic) to oxyhaemoglobin (diamagnetic) in blood. The resulting change in R2*, ΔR2*carbogen, reflects changes in oxygenation and the haemodynamic response in functional vasculature. An i.v. injection of USPIO particles increases R2* in tissue surrounding blood vessels that are perfused with blood plasma at the time of injection. With a known blood concentration of USPIO particles, ΔR2*USPIO can be used to calculate blood volume. Due to the difference in size of erythrocytes and USPIO particles, (~6μm and 0.03μm, respectively [2]), the volume of distribution influenced by hyperoxia and USPIO is likely to differ, with small vessels offering preferential access to USPIO particles. Tumour vascular morphology and function is highly dependent on both tumour size and site of implantation. Furthermore, orthotopically propagated models may more closely mimic human metastatic tumour growth and pathophysiology, resulting in differing vascular characteristics than those found in an equivalent subcutaneous model [3]. Given that many cancer therapies rely on vascular delivery to the tumour, this level of understanding of tumour vasculature in pre-clinical models is imperative. The aim of this study was to use CUSPIO imaging to investigate vascular behaviour and structure in small and large xenograft models, and an orthotopic model, of PC3 prostate tumours, and compare the differences in these characteristics between the different models.

Methods: Data Acquisition: Male NCr nude mice were injected either subcutaneously on the right flank, or intraprostatically, with 1×10⁶ PC3 cells. The subcutaneous cohort was split into two groups of six mice. The tumours from group 1 were imaged a week later at an average tumour diameter of 4.8 ± 0.5mm. The tumours from group 2 were imaged three weeks following inoculation at an average diameter of 12.7 ± 1mm. The tumours from the orthotopic cohort were imaged at 3 weeks at an average diameter of 10 ± 1mm. All images were acquired on a 7T horizontal bore Bruker system using a 3cm birdcage coil. The mice were anaesthetised and restrained using dental paste in order to limit motion artefacts [4]. T2* morphological (turboRARE) images were acquired for tumour delineation, followed by two baseline multi gradient echo MGE acquisitions (3 contiguous 1mm slices, TR=200msec, TE=6–28ms, 4ms echo spacing, 8 averages) acquired during air breathing. The supply was then switched to carbogen, delivered via a nosepiece. Following a two minute transition time, a further identical MGE image set was acquired. The gas supply was then reverted to air and, after a 10 minute transition time to clear residual carbogen, a second baseline MGE image set was acquired. A final MGE image set was acquired one minute after injection of 200μmol/kg USPIO (ferumoxtran-10, Sinerem, Guerbet) via a cannulated tail vein.

Data Analysis: Using a previously described method, MGE data were fitted using a Bayesian approach which took into account the Rician data distribution [5]. This method enabled calculation of the probability that a given estimate of R2* in each pixel was significantly greater than zero. This allows the exclusion of voxels where there was non-significant change in R2*, R2* values were generated with a red channel designated to pixels with a positive ΔR2*, the blue channel to pixels with negative ΔR2*, and the green channel to positive ΔR2*. Regions with both negative ΔR2*carbogen and positive ΔR2*USPIO therefore appeared cyan (blue + green) and regions with both positive ΔR2*carbogen and positive ΔR2*USPIO appeared yellow (red + green).

Results and Discussion: The median values of baseline R2*, ΔR2*carbogen and ΔR2*USPIO, for each tumour cohort are reported in Figures 2 and 3 respectively. Small, large and orthotopic tumour cohorts all exhibited significantly different median baseline R2*, and ΔR2*carbogen. Median ΔR2*USPIO was only significantly different for the large and orthotopic tumour cohorts (figure 2). The significant difference in ΔR2*carbogen exhibited by the three tumour cohorts suggests differences in the haemodynamic functionality of the vasculature in each cohort. Furthermore, the negative median ΔR2*carbogen seen in the small tumour cohort suggests that the small tumours were characterised by functionally hypoxic, erythrocyte perfused tumours. The positive median ΔR2*carbogen seen in both the large and orthotopic tumour cohorts is consistent with vascular steal. The significantly different ΔR2*USPIO in the large subcutaneous and orthotopic PC3 tumours suggests a difference in blood volume which was backed up by Hoescht 33342 histology (data not shown).

Representative CUSPIO RGB maps for small, large and orthotopic PC3 tumours are shown in Figure 1. RGB maps for all tumours show regions, as seen in previous data, where there is significant ΔR2*carbogen, but no significant ΔR2*USPIO. This data suggests these regions have experienced a vascular shutdown in the period between the carbogen breathing and the USPIO particle injection. RGB maps from all tumour cohorts also show a heterogeneous distribution of ΔR2*USPIO, which is indicative of heterogeneous perfusion in the tumour model. This may have consequences for the delivery of therapeutic molecules that are of the same order of size as a USPIO particle. There was no significant difference in the spatial distribution of CUSPIO ΔR2* response categories between the three tumour cohorts.

Conclusions: Significant differences were measured in both baseline R2*, and ΔR2* during carbogen breathing in small and large subcutaneous, and orthotopic PC3 tumours, which are thought to reflect differences in the vascular structure and behaviour of tumours grown from the same cell line but of different sizes and in different sites. A significant difference in ΔR2* after USPIO injection was also seen in the large subcutaneous and orthotopic PC3 tumours, suggesting a difference in blood volume. These results have important implications in the understanding of drug delivery to tumours and how this information is translated from pre-clinical rodent models to a clinical situation.
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