Coronary Artery Distensibility Assessed by 3.0 T Cardiac MRI
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INTRODUCTION
Atherosclerotic changes in the coronary artery are associated with impaired coronary vessel wall distensibility. Although non-invasive quantification of central aortic distensibility is possible by cardiac MRI (1), only intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) invasive measurements of coronary distensibility have been reported until now (2,3).

We sought to test the hypotheses that coronary artery distensibility can be quantified non-invasively with 3.0 T coronary MRI and that differences can be detected between healthy and coronary artery disease (CAD) subjects.

METHODS
Twenty-six healthy, adult subjects (14 male, mean 32±11 years) and nineteen patients with coronary catheterization documented CAD (11 male, mean 57±6 years) were studied on a commercial whole-body MR imaging system (Achieva 3.0 T; Philips, Best, NL). MR angiography of the right coronary artery (RCA); left coronary artery (LAD) and/or left circumflex artery (LCX) was performed with a navigator-gated free-breathing and ECG-triggered, T2-prepared, 3D, segmented k-space, gradient-echo imaging sequence. In each subject, the proximal segment of the RCA; LAD and/or LCX was then imaged in cross-section using cine spiral MRI for area measurements (Figure 1). MRI parameters were: echo time (TE) =1.5 ms, radiofrequency (RF) excitation angle=20° and spectral spatial excitation, breath-hold duration=14-24sec, acquisition window =10ms, repetition time (TR)=14ms, 21 spiral interleaves, spatial resolution (acquired/reconstructed) = 0.89x0.89x0.80mm³/0.69x0.69x8.00 mm³. Both the brachial blood pressure and the heart rate were recorded. Imaging was performed at a constant room temperature and after at least 20 minutes of rest in the magnet. In a subgroup of seventeen healthy adult subjects and 4 CAD patients, an ECG-gated, turbo-field-echo (TFE) cine scan was acquired during breath-hold to determine aortic distensibility. This scan was obtained at the level of the right pulmonary artery through the ascending thoracic aorta. Typical aortic MRI parameters were: echo time (TE)=3.2ms, radiofrequency (RF) excitation angle=15°, repetition time (TR)=5.3ms, spatial resolution (acquired/reconstructed) = 0.99x0.99x0.99mm³/0.69x0.69x8.00 mm³. Images were analyzed for cross-sectional area changes using full width half maximum (Cine version 3.15.17, GE, Milwaukee, WI, USA), and distensibility (mmHg⁻¹) was determined as: [(end-systolic lumen area–mid-diastolic lumen area)/mid-diastolic lumen area]/{pulse pressure multiplied by the mid-diastolic lumen area} (4). Pulse pressure was calculated as the maximal pressure change during a cardiac cycle (2). Data are expressed as mean values ± one standard deviation. Student’s unpaired t-tests were used to compare the change in coronary cross-sectional area between the healthy and coronary artery disease subjects. The relationship between coronary and aortic distensibility was evaluated using a linear regression. Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed p-value <0.05.

RESULTS
Twenty-three volunteers and seventeen patients had adequate image quality for coronary area measurements. The mean pulse pressure product in healthy adults (62 ± 10 mmHg) was not significantly different from that in CAD patients (68 ± 10 mmHg), (p=0.054). The luminal area during mid-diastole was 10.5 ± 2.0 mm² for healthy subjects and 13.5 ± 3.8 mm² for CAD patients (p=0.01). At end-systole, the luminal area in healthy subjects was 11.7 ± 2.3 mm² and 14.2 ± 4.1 mm² in CAD patients (p=0.02). Coronary vessel area changed significantly between end-systole and mid-diastole in healthy controls (p=0.001), and in CAD patients (p=0.03). Coronary artery distensibility was significantly higher in healthy subjects, than in the CAD patients (2.4 ± 1.3 mmHg⁻¹10⁵ vs. 1.0 ± 0.9 mmHg⁻¹10⁵ respectively, p=0.004) (Figure 2). We found no significant correlation between coronary and aortic distensibility at mid-diastole in healthy adults or at end-systole and mid-diastole in CAD patients, although coronary artery distensibility correlated with end-systolic coronary area in healthy subjects (p=0.024). In a subgroup analysis, in healthy subjects (N=17) aortic distensibility (2.4 ± 1.6 mmHg⁻¹10⁵) trended higher than that in CAD patients (N=4) (1.5 ± 0.4 mmHg⁻¹10⁵), however, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.22). We found no significant correlation between coronary artery and aortic distensibility in healthy adults or CAD patients (R²=0.0208; p=0.533) (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION
We used 3.0 T MRI to non-invasively assess human coronary artery vessel wall distensibility and observed significant differences between healthy subjects and CAD patients. Our findings are similar to those from invasive IVUS studies for coronary artery distensibility (2,3) and to MRI measurements of aortic distensibility at 1.5 T (1). With the available data to date, we observed no significant correlation between coronary artery distensibility and aortic distensibility either in healthy adults or CAD patients. This methodology may support the characterization of vascular anatomy and function in healthy and diseased states, as well as the response to interventions in patients with, or at increased risk for, CAD.
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